

FMS Post Doctoral Committee
Score Sheet - Best Paper

First Author:
Date Accepted:
Reviewer 1:

Additional:

Criteria 1: Quality of Writing

Is the paper well written and accessible to those within and outside the particular field of research? Could this be reasonably understood by a final-year undergraduate?

1: Lowest 2 3 4 5: Best

--	--	--	--	--

Score (/5):

--

Criteria 2: Contribution to the Field

Does this paper contribute knowledge and understanding - in novelty or robust reproduction - to the particular field of research?

1: Lowest 2 3 4 5: Best

--	--	--	--	--

Score (/5):

--

Criteria 3: Validity and Quality of Methods

Are the methods appropriate to the research question, and are they correctly applied? Are statistical assessments and resulting inferences appropriate and proportional?

1: Lowest 2 3 4 5: Best

--	--	--	--	--

Score (/5):

--

Criteria 4: Use of Figures

Are the figures clear, easily understandable, well-described in the figure legend, and appropriate to the purpose?

1: Lowest 2 3 4 5: Best

--	--	--	--	--

Score (/5):

--

Criteria 5: Impact and Engagement

How well does this paper communicate economic/social impact or the potential thereof?
How well have the community engaged with this paper - altimetric score, citations etc.?

1: Lowest 2 3 4 5: Best

--	--	--	--	--

Score (/5):

--

Other Comments (+5 marks)

Please use this box comment on any pros and cons of the paper which may affect the score you give. Up to five additional points can be awarded for these additional factors. For example, this can include (a) the level of contribution by the author to the study compared to their peers in the paper or in the cohort of submitted papers; (b) use of additional media or material such as gifs, videos, slides etc. to communicate the research; (c) any other factor which may elevate this paper above its peers.

Total (/30):

--

FMS Post Doctoral Committee
Score Sheet - Best Paper